

PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

SRI N. B. SIRDESAI.—Sir, I beg to present a petition signed by the Chairman, Group Gram Panchayat, Gunji, about the non-compliance of the proposed piped water supply scheme (NRWS) to several village Panchayats in Khanapur Taluk.

SRI L. T. THIMMAPPA HEGDE.—Sir, I beg to present a petition signed by the President of the Sagara Prantya Adike Belagara Sangha in the matter of exemption of water rate levy to lands in Malnad areas.

SRI K. KARIBASAVANA GOWDA.—Sir, I beg to present a petition signed by the Chairman, Village Panchayat, Hedathala regarding delay in taking up of various developmental works in Nanjangud Taluk.

MR. SPEAKER.—The petitions stand referred to the Committee on petitions.

PRESENTATION OF REPORT

Report of the Committee on Privileges

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.—Sir, on behalf of the Chairman, I beg to present the First Report of the Committee on Privileges.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Report is presented.

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.—The following is the Report of the Committee.

“Sir, the Committee of Privileges have the honour to present the following report on the question of supplying certain documents relating to the Committee on Public Undertakings desired by the Income Tax Officer, Central Circle (III), Bangalore.

The question was referred to the Committee by the Speaker, Legislative Assembly on 14th July, 1980.

FACTS OF THE CASE.

The Income Tax Officer, Central Circle (III), Bangalore addressed a letter dated 30-6-1980 to the Secretary, Karnataka Legislature requesting for a true copy of the memorandum submitted by Sri B. T. Shankar Hegde Chairman and Managing Director of M/s. Hegde & Golay Ltd., in reply to the 11th Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings on the working of the Karnataka State Industrial Investment and Development Corporation presented on 6-6-77.

As both the Houses of Legislature are in session, the Speaker referred this matter on 14th July, 1980 to the Committee on Privileges for examination and report.

The Committee met on 18th July 1980 and considered the matter.

The General Parliamentary practice in regard to production and supply of documents relating to the proceedings of a House or a Committee of Legislature is as follow,-

‘no Member or Officer of the House should give evidence in a Court of Law in respect of any proceedings of the House or in the custody of the Secretary of the House without the leave of the House being first obtained.

When the House is not in Session, the Speaker, may in emergent cases allow the production of the relevant documents in Courts of Law in order to prevent delays in the administration of justice and inform the House accordingly of the fact when it reassembles. In case, however, the matter involves any question of Privilege, specially, the privilege of a witness, or in case the production of the document appears to him to be a subject for the discretion of the House itself he may decline to grant the required permission and refer the matter to the Committee of Privileges for examination and report.

When a request is received during Sessions for producing in a Court of Law a document connected with the proceedings of the House or Committees or which is in the custody of the Secretary of the House, the case may be referred by the Speaker to the Committee of Privileges. On a report from the committee, a motion may be moved in the House by the Chairman or a Member of the Committees to the effect that the House agrees with the report and further action should be taken in accordance with the decision of the House’.

The question which requires to be considered is whether the document in question which is in custody of the Secretary could be made available as required by the Income-Tax Officer in this case.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE.

The Committee after considering the matter in the light of the Parliamentary Practice set out above recommend that the Speaker may authorise the Secretary to furnish to the Income Tax Officer a certified copy of the document asked for by him."

Sir, I also move that the House agrees with the report and I further request that the permission may be so granted.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That this House agrees with the First Report of the Committee of Privileges."

The Motion was adopted

Financial Business : Discussion as Voting of Demands for Grants for 1980-81.

Demand Nos. 56, 57 and 58.

MR. SPEAKER.—Now we shall take up the next item, the Hon'ble Minister for Rural Development Panchayath to reply.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾನಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಭಾಕರರವರು ಕಳೆದ ಎರಡು ದಿನಗಳಿಂದ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದ್ದರು. ಆದ ಕಾರಣ ಅವರಿಗೆ ೧೦ ನಿಮಿಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ ; ನಂತರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ನಿಯಮ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮುಗಿಯಿತು, ನಾಳೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಎಂದು ನಿನ್ನೆಯೇ ನಾನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಅವರು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾನಯ್ಯ.—ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ಖಾತೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಇದೆ. ಆದ ಕಾರಣ, ಕೇವಲ ೧೦ ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಾದರೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಕೆಲವರಲ್ಲಿ ೪೦-೫೦ ನಿಮಿಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ; ಅದರಲ್ಲೇ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೂ ಕೊಡಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಏಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ? ಸಭೆ, ಈ ಮನೆಯ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕು. ನಾನು ನಿನ್ನ ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ರೆಕಾರ್ಡ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ತಾವು ನಿನ್ನೆ, ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮುಗಿಯಿತು, ನಾಳೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಆದೇಶ ನೀಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈಗ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡುವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಭಾಕರರವರ ಹೆಸರು ಕೂಡ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರೂ ಪುನಃ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನಾನಯ್ಯ.—ಅವರು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಬಂದರೂ, ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಲಿಸ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸದೆ ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ?